TREE INVENTORY

Prepared for Leisure World Mutual 17 Seal Beach, CA



Prepared by JAMES KOMEN – CLASS ONE ARBORICULTURE BOARD CERTIFIED MASTER ARBORIST #WE-9909B REGISTERED CONSULTING ARBORIST #555 (818)495-5344 CLASSONEARBORICULTURE@GMAIL.COM OCTOBER 18, 2014

Mutual 17 Tree Inventory Class One Arboriculture Inc. October 18, 2014

Discussion of Findings

- **East Wall Problems**: When the east wall was installed, a large trench was dug immediately adjacent to the row of trees, cutting 40% of their anchorage roots. Not only are these trees more susceptible to falling inwards to the west, but the loss of roots will also reduce their vigor and ability to heal from the damage. Some of these trees are large enough to pose a hazard to the nearby buildings and parking areas, and these are recommended for removal. See the tree log for a list of these trees.

Trees remain standing not because they have deep root systems but because they have broad root systems known as a root plates. For every unit of mass above the ground, there is usually at least an equal amount of mass below ground in the root system. The distribution of mass across a broad surface area of soil counterbalances the weight of the tree above ground and keeps it standing. If a portion of the root plate is lost, the tree's center of gravity shifts away from the side with root damage. In this case, the center of gravity of each of these trees has shifted inwards towards Mutual 17.

There are three general structures that keep trees standing upright:

- *Tensile Buttress Roots* are the roots that carry the greatest load. They grow on the opposite side of a tree's lean or of the prevailing wind. These roots apply force in tension.
- *Compressive Buttress Roots* apply force through compression. These roots grow on the same side of a tree as its prevailing lean or on the leeward side of the tree exposed to winds. They apply force by resisting compression.
- *Tap Roots* grow straight down and also provide resistance to the torque of the trunk. These roots are not nearly as massive as the surface absorption roots and the anchorage roots that comprise the majority of each tree's root system. The tap roots provide the smallest amount of resistance to failure.

When the wall was installed, the tensile buttress roots of the adjacent trees were severed, leaving only the compressive buttress roots and the tap roots to resist the forces of wind and gravity.

The prevailing winds in the area blow from west to east, and the western tensile buttress roots are intact on nearly all of these trees. Therefore, these trees have retained a measure of protection against the prevailing winds. The hazard feature would be aggravated if the winds were to reverse and blow from the east to west in a storm. Any vector of wind that contains a component blowing from east to west could be a hazardous wind for these trees because there are no tensile buttress roots to resist the torque applied by a west-blowing wind.

Wind force does not just act upon the trees alone; therefore the interaction of the trees as a grove should be given consideration. Trees growing in a grove together protect each other from the forces of wind by sharing the load. When trees on the edge of a forest or a grove of trees are removed, the interior trees then have to bear the entire force of the wind. Often these trees are not suited for these forces and ultimately end up failing as well. This is known as the *Forest Edge Effect*. Removal of one or more of the trees along

the east wall would make it more likely for the remaining trees to fail due to the increased wind loads.

In addition to short term strength reduction, consideration should be given to the longterm effects of root damage on the health of the affected trees. Root damage can take one of several forms:

- Acute root damage reduces the rate the tree can absorb water and nutrients from the soil, causing the tree to brown out and die within a year or two. Symptoms of acute root damage to these trees are most likely to appear in the summer of 2015 if there are any.
- Chronic root damage has symptoms that take longer to appear. The reduction in water and nutrients causes the tree to have a slow thinning and decline. Usually, the tree doesn't die as a direct result of chronic root damage, but rather it becomes predisposed to contracting a disease or insect infestation that ultimately kills the tree.
- The slowest onset of symptoms occurs when root damage creates an entry point for disease and decay into the root system. The decay gradually migrates up the roots into the root crown and compromises the tree structurally. When a significant portion of the root crown becomes decayed, the tree is subject to fail mechanically in a windstorm. There are not always above-ground signs that decay is present in the root system. Signs include proliferous fungal blooms and bark loss around the root crown. Root decay occurs on a time scale of three to ten inches of per year, so the effects of root decay won't significantly impact the stability of these trees for at least several years.

Depending on the risk tolerance of the mutual, some of the trees that have been structurally compromised can be retained because they have a lesser extent of injury or because they don't have a high-value target if they were to fall. These trees are listed in the tree log as "consider removal." A dialogue should be started between the Mutual's landscape managers and a certified arborist to discuss the hazard potential of these trees and to facilitate the decision-making process.

For all of the trees that are retained along the newly installed wall, root crown inspections should continue every year for at least seven years because root damage often does not manifest symptoms in the canopy for five to seven years.

- **Previous Mispruning**: Many of the trees in Mutual 17 were previously cared for by crews who made pruning cuts that caused long-lasting damage to the trees' health. A large portion of the short-term pruning strategy revolves around correcting the mistakes from the past and retraining these trees into strong structures. There will therefore be a higher cost of labor in the short term that will gradually lessen over time.

On the Canary Island Pine trees, lateral limbs have been cut to stumps that are hard for the tree to compartmentalize and heal. These trees have been over-pruned with the intention of reducing the likelihood of failure in the wind. However, by removing a large quantity of foliage, the pruning has actually had the opposite effect. When a full canopy oscillates, the force of the wind is evenly distributed across all the needle and branch attach points through the physics principle of mass damping. When excessive amounts of foliage are removed, there is less damping of the wind force, and more of it is concentrated on the main trunk, increasing the likelihood of trunk failure.

- Wrong Tree, Wrong Spot: Some of the trees began as problems the day they were installed. There are root-aggressive trees that were planted immediately adjacent to hardscape. Over time, trees with aggressive roots will lift and buckle hardscape, turning into costly repairs. There are also several new trees that should have been rejected at the nursery due to poor form and health. Their poor condition will limit their lifespan in the landscape and will ultimately be a waste of money for the mutual. Problems such as these can be avoided by consulting an arborist before planting.
- **Trees Should Be Re-Staked Correctly**: Most trees that are grown in natural form should not be staked for any longer than one year, and in many cases, they shouldn't be staked at all. When the stakes are left on for too long, the trees never develop taper (thicker trunks at the base) and are never able to stand on their own.

Often the ties that attach the trees to the stakes cause constriction of the trunk and function very much like a tourniquet, cutting off the flow of water and nutrients to the canopy. In extreme cases, mature trees can snap at the points where ties caused constriction in their juvenile stage. Some of the young trees in Mutual 17 need to be addressed immediately.

Staking problems usually begin with poor nursery stock selection. Weak trees are purchased and planted by inexperienced crews, and they end up needing to be staked just to keep from falling over. If an arborist were to supervise the selection of the trees, then weak trees would be rejected before purchase.

- Aerial Inspections: The data collection for this inventory was conducted entirely from the ground. Many of the trees in Mutual 17 that should be inspected by a climber to better inform decisions on care and hazard mitigation. The extent of defects should be observed by a climber, and then subsequent actions can be recommended. See the tree log spreadsheet for the trees recommended for aerial inspections.
- Anthracnose Canker: Most of the Chinese Elm trees in Mutual 17 have Chinese Elm Anthracnose Canker. Anthracnose is a fungus that is transmitted by splashing rain and wind, and it is difficult to prevent its transmission. At this point, the trees should simply be monitored, and when they become hazardous, they should be removed and replaced with a different species. Over the next ten years, the Chinese Elms may succumb to it. There is no need to take immediate action, but as the Elms are lost, they should be replaced by resistant species.

Recommendations

- Open a dialogue between the landscape managers and a certified arborist to discuss the risk tolerance of the mutual regarding the trees along the east wall. Many of the trees can be retained if the mutual is willing to accept a small degree of risk. A balance between value and risk exposure should be achieved, and a dialogue will help to discover where that balance point is. This conversation will inform the decisions of whether to retain or remove each tree along the east wall.
- Separate the landscaping from the tree maintenance in the budget. Inexperienced crews should not be allowed to prune or plant trees. There are two options to accomplish this separation:
 - 1) Create a separate 'tree contract' for a crew that specifically maintains the trees, and then add a limitation clause to the landscaping contract that restricts landscapers from pruning trees.
 - 2) Add a clause to the landscaping contract that requires a certified arborist to be present on site when any trees are pruned. Then the landscapers can bear the burden of finding and paying an arborist rather than adding additional work to the board's landscape director.
- Get the trees inspected annually by a certified arborist. A report of this magnitude is not necessary, but a trained arborist should at least do a walkthrough of the Mutual and make notes of how the trees have changed in the past year.
- Make sure to ask an arborist before planting a new tree or cutting roots of an existing tree. Many costly problems can be prevented with a simple site visit, or even a phone call and email.

Limitations

Please understand that my observations are based on a strictly visual inspection of the property, and some hidden or buried symptoms and signs may not have been observed. I did not conduct excavation, coring, or aerial inspection to make observations. Specialty arborists would be needed to conduct root crown inspections and extent-of-decay analysis on your trees, if these additional inspections are desired.

Although the condition of your trees will change throughout the year, my analysis is only based on the observations I gathered at the time of inspection. I do not guarantee the safety, health, or condition of any of your trees.

There is no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies in your trees may not arise in the future. Furthermore, I am in no way liable for any unforeseen damages caused by the tree pruning crews carrying out my recommendations.

Arborists are tree specialists who use their knowledge, education, training, and experience to examine trees, recommend measures to enhance the beauty and health of trees, and attempt to reduce the risk of living trees. Clients may choose to accept or disregard the recommendations of the arborist, or to seek additional advice.

Arborists cannot detect every condition that could possibly lead to structural failure of a tree. Trees are living organisms that fail in ways we do not fully understand. Conditions are often hidden within trees and below ground. Arborists cannot guarantee that a tree will be healthy or safe under all circumstances, or for a specified period of time. Likewise, remedial treatments, like any medicine, cannot be guaranteed.

Treatment, pruning, and removal of trees may involve considerations beyond the scope of the arborist's services such as property boundaries, property ownership, site lines, disputes between neighbors, and other issues. Arborists cannot take such considerations into account unless complete and accurate information is disclosed to the arborist. An arborist should then be expected to reasonably rely upon the completeness and accuracy of the information provided.

Trees can be managed, but they cannot be controlled. To live near trees is to accept some degree of risk. The only way to eliminate all risk associated with trees is to eliminate all trees.





Mutual 17 Tree Inventory Class One Arboriculture Inc. October 18, 2014



Site 1 *Ulmus parvifolia* - Chinese Elm

This Chinese Elm is afflicted with the Chinese Elm anthracnose canker. The canker has split open the living bark tissue on many of the scaffold branches and has left a substantial wound site at the base of the tree on the eastern side. The wound is not large enough to raise a concern over a structural deficiency in the tree at this time, but it should be monitored annually.

The canopy is looking slightly sparse, and there is deadwood scattered throughout. The deadwood should be cleaned out before it self-prunes.

One of the large scaffold branches leaning out to the northwest carries approximately 75% of the canopy weight. This part of the canopy should be thinned to reduce the likelihood of this branch tearing out and falling on a vehicle parked below. Before thinning healthy branches, the defective and diseased branches should be removed from this section of the canopy, and no more than 15% of live foliage should be removed in any given year.

Maintenance: 45 min. Next Service: now Priority: high



Site 2 *Ulmus parvifolia* - Chinese Elm

This tree has a substantial canker on the south side, approximately 5 feet up the trunk. One of the main scaffold branches pointing to the south will likely fail in a matter of years if not removed. There are two scaffold branches pointing to the south: the lowest one should be removed this year, and the upper one should be inspected next year to assess for possible removal.

In addition to the anthracnose canker on this tree, there is some substantial squirrel chew that is older than the canker. Around the squirrel chew wounds, the tree is depositing new cambium tissue that will eventually heal. This contrasts with the anthracnose cankers that are increasing in size as the bark of the tree retreats. This tree should be inspected annually for hazard potential, but it can be retained in the landscape at this time.

Chinese Elms have a low weeping natural form, which although attractive, can be a maintenance liability because the fringe needs to be raised every year out of clearance height. This tree should be pruned lightly for clearance.

Maintenance: 30 min. Next Service: spring Priority: medium



Site 3 *Liquidambar styraciflua* - American Sweet Gum

This liquidambar is an attractive choice of tree for this site for aesthetics, but it is already beginning to cause problems with its roots. There is evidence of roots lifting the adjacent sidewalk, and these problems will continue as long as this tree is located here. Cutting the roots or installing a root barrier is not an option because the severing of this tree's anchorage roots would predispose it to falling to the south onto adjacent building. Rather, a decision should be made on whether to keep the tree or to grind the sidewalk every few years.

There is some minor deadwood that should be cleaned out of the tree, and there are a few low branches over the parking area that should be raised and reduced.

There are ties that are impounded in the trunk from when the tree was planted. The ties that affixed the tree to its stake were never removed, and now they constrict the trunk, creating a weak spot approximately 4.5 feet up the trunk. These ties can't be removed at this time without causing further damage, so they should be retained. This weak spot on the trunk should be monitored annually, and if it is determined to be a hazard, the tree may need to be removed and replaced. Currently the tree does not pose an imminent hazard.

Maintenance: 15 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium



Site 4 *Liquidambar styraciflua* - American Sweet Gum

This tree is an excellent aesthetic choice for this location because it is a tall tree planted on the eastern side of the apartment building, and it will protect the northernmost corner of the building from the eastern morning sun. Unfortunately, this tree species is somewhat root aggressive and will eventually cause the adjacent sidewalk to lift and buckle. A decision should be made on whether the tree should be retained or replaced. If retained, the sidewalk will need to be ground down every few years to reduce trip hazards.

The tree has a co-dominant lead at approximately 10 feet up the trunk. This tree is young enough that the western co-dominant stem could be removed without causing major harm to the tree. If it is to be retained, then a safety line should be installed to mitigate the hazard of one of the stems failing in the wind. The tree can be retained for several more years without installing a safety line. There are a few low branches that should be raised for clearance annually.

Some of the foliage on the south eastern side of the tree that receives the most solar exposure is beginning to show signs of incipient wilt. It is likely that this is a result of the unusually hot and dry summer that Southern California has been experiencing. If the foliage that appears in 2015 continues to show symptoms, the tree should be reassessed by an arborist.

Maintenance: 10 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium



Site 5 *Pinus canariensis* - Canary Island Pine

This tree is part of a stand of five trees on the southern face of building three. It is an excellent choice of species for the location because it grows tall but does not have particularly invasive roots. All five of these trees shade the southern face of building three from exposure to the hot midday sun. These trees are an asset to the building by reducing cooling costs and improving the aesthetic appearance of the building façade.

This tree appears to have been pruned more aggressively than its neighbors. As a result, the growth has been stunted, and the tips have been removed from nearly all of the lateral growing branches. This tree is currently stressed, and it may end up having a shorter lifespan than its neighbors. For now, no action needs to be taken, but the tree should be inspected annually for any signs of developing structural defects.

Maintenance: zero Next Service: fall Priority: medium

Site 6 *Pinus canariensis* - Canary Island Pine

This tree is part of a stand of five trees on the southern face of building three. It is an excellent choice of species for the location because it grows tall but does not have particularly invasive roots. All five of these trees shade the southern face of building three from exposure to the hot midday sun. These trees are an asset to the building by reducing cooling costs and improving the aesthetic appearance of the building façade.

This tree has an ideal dense canopy. It should not be thinned at this time because the canopy density is damping the force of the wind on the trunk. Rather, the tree should be inspected annually for structural defects and problematic branches should be removed.

Maintenance: two hours with climber Next Service: fall Priority: medium





Site 7 *Pinus canariensis* - Canary Island Pine

This tree is part of a stand of five trees on the southern face of building three. It is an excellent choice of species for the location because it grows tall but does not have particularly invasive roots. All five of these trees shade the southern face of building three from exposure to the hot midday sun. These trees are an asset to the building by reducing cooling costs and improving the aesthetic appearance of the building façade.

This tree is showing the greatest hormonal response of this group of five trees. There are many adventitious shoots that are sprouting out of the trunk of the tree as a result of a plant hormone imbalance. When the tips of the branches were removed in the past, the production sites of the plant hormone auxin were removed. This imbalance in hormones caused the dormant nodes to respond by exploding into new growth. These new shoots are neither a problem nor an asset to the tree; rather, they are an indicator of stress further up the trunk of the tree. They can be retained for now, but they should eventually be removed before they compete with the permanent scaffold.

There is a substantial load of dead needles in the canopy of this tree. Normally, these needles are naturally dislodged from the canopy through the force of wind, but if it is desired, a highpressure stream of water can knock them out of the canopy for aesthetic purposes. There are no apparent hazard features on this tree, and it can be retained in the landscape at this time. The tree should be inspected annually by a climber for defects.

Maintenance: 2 hours with climber Next Service: fall Priority: medium







Site 13 Salix babylonica - Weeping Willow

This tree will become a beautiful landscape asset as it matures. It is a riparian species that is tolerant of occasional flooding. However, one major problem is the species' root aggressiveness. It is located near a storm drain and a driveway; its roots will eventually grow under these pieces of hardscape and cause it to lift and buckle. It is possible at this early stage to install a root barrier to preemptively deter the roots from growing under these surfaces. Root barriers do not prevent root growth, but they do slow it.

It is time for the canopy to be trained. There are many crossing branch defects that should be corrected. The fringe should be raised around the crown for head height clearance. The low interior twigs can be cleaned out to leave a more aesthetically appealing trunk form.

Maintenance: one hour Next Service: winter Priority: medium

Marchin	Site 14 Liquidambar styraciflua - American Sweet Gum
	This tree needs to be trained to a single leading trunk form. It is beginning to grow into a multiple lead form which is a weak structure for this species of tree. The central lead should be selected, and each year one of the competing leaders should be removed until the tree recovers the ideal form.
	There are several surface roots showing damage from lawnmowers passing over them. The damage is not serious, but it should be avoided if possible. A good mitigation is to add a layer of mulch two to four inches thick around the base of the tree to protect the surface roots from mechanical damage, to insulate them from temperature changes, and to reduce the rate of evaporation from the soil.
	There is evidence of scorch on some of the leaves, but this is likely due to the recent summer heat. There is a minor bark fungus on this tree that is leaving a spotting pattern. This fungus is not serious and no action needs to be taken.
	Maintenance: 20 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium
and the second	Site 15 Brachychiton sp Bottle Tree
	This Bottle Tree was a well-chosen species for the site. This tree has good form, and it is easy to maintain. No pruning should be done at this time, but in the future, the branches that contact the adjacent building should be lightly pruned back.
	Maintenance: 5 min. Next Service: 2016 Priority: low







Mutual 17 Tree Inventory Class One Arboriculture Inc. October 18, 2014

















	Site 42 <i>Ulmus parvifolia</i> - Chinese Elm This Chinese Elm does not show signs of anthracnose canker. There is some minor deadwood in the upper canopy that can be removed for aesthetic purposes. Because this tree has a low weeping natural form, it will need to be maintained annually for clearance.
	This tree has several crossing branches in its canopy that should be removed to train the tree to ideal form. It should be allowed to intermingle its canopy with its neighbors' to create an attractive natural ceiling over the walkway. Maintenance: 20 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium
	Site 43 <i>Ulmus parvifolia</i> - Chinese Elm This Chinese Elm does not show signs of anthracnose canker. There is some minor deadwood in the upper canopy that can be removed for aesthetic purposes. Because this tree has a low weeping natural form, it will need to be maintained annually for clearance.
	This tree has several crossing branches in its canopy that should be removed to train the tree to ideal form. It should be allowed to intermingle its canopy with its neighbors to create an attractive natural ceiling over the walkway. Maintenance: 30 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium







STATE OF	Site 50 <i>Ulmus parvifolia</i> - Chinese Elm
	This tree is looking considerably sparser than its neighbor. It is affected by the Chinese Elm anthracnose canker on several of its main scaffold branches. It is not as severe as some of the other trees in this mutual, and the tree is not a hazard at this time. It should be inspected annually for the development of bark loss. For now, the deadwood should be removed and the fringe should be raised for clearance. Maintenance: 20 min. Next Service: winter
and the second	Priority: medium
	Site 51 Lophostemon confertus - Brisbane Box
	This tree needs to be re-staked immediately. The nursery stakes are aggressively tied to the trunk of the tree, leaving abrasion marks and constricting the flow of water and nutrients to the rest of tree. The new stakes should be driven into the ground outside the root ball of the tree to avoid any root damage.
	Maintenance: 10 min. Next Service: now Priority: high
	Site 52 Pinus canariensis - Canary Island Pine
	The single leading form of this tree is ideal. The canopy density is acceptable at this time. In the past, this tree was aggressively pruned, and the tip of each of the branches was removed, resulting in an imbalance of hormones in the tree and causing the dormant notes to explode in new growth. The new shoots should be retained for a few years and then removed so they do not develop into an undesirable scaffold structure. The tree should be inspected by a climber for defects.
199	Maintenance: 30 min. with climber Next Service: winter Priority: medium



Site 53 *Ulmus parvifolia* - Chinese Elm

This Chinese Elm has Chinese Elm anthracnose canker. There is a branch union about 10 feet up the southern scaffold branch that has a large canker covering the western half of the branch union. This is likely a weak spot that is susceptible to branch breakage. The branches that emanate from this union have dense concentrations of foliage at the tips and are in high cantilever. The foliage on these tips should be reduced and thinned to reduce the weight load on this structure. If the structure fails, then it should be cut back to the next main stem. Failure of this trunk would not hit a car or the building; therefore it can be retained at this time. There is some minor deadwood scattered throughout the canopy that should be removed. The branches over the street should be pruned for clearance.

There are a few dead stumps that remain on the tree from the previous time it was pruned. These stumps should be removed so that the tree can begin to heal those wounds. There is also some foliage on the eastern side of the tree that is in heavy cantilever over the parking area. These branches should be thinned to reduce the likelihood of branch tearout and failure onto a car.

Maintenance: 45 min. Next Service: winter Priority: high










Site 64 <i>Ulmus parvifolia</i> - Chinese Elm This tree has Chinese Elm anthracnose canker. The cankers on the trunk are concentrated around several branch unions and should be monitored for the possibility of a branch failure. Currently, this tree is not a hazard to the landscape and can be retained. The tree has a sparse canopy, so no live foliage should be removed at this time. Maintenance: 20 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium
Site 65 Ulmus parvifolia - Chinese Elm This tree has Chinese Elm anthracnose canker. The deadwood could be manicured out of the tree, but there is not much that should be removed at this time. Maintenance: 20 min. Next Service: winter Priority: low
 Site 66 Schinus terebinthifolius – Brazilian Pepper Tree This tree is planted across the property line between Mutual 17 and Golden Rain Foundation. This makes both Mutual 17 and the Golden Rain Foundation tenants-in-common for this tree. This tree is a fast-growing species that will need to be maintained for clearance every 6 to 12 months. This tree is growing vigorously and some of the interior shoots should be thinned out before they begin to compete with the outer canopy. Maintenance: 45 min. Next Service: spring Priority: high









Site 74 *Ulmus parvifolia* - Chinese Elm

This tree has deadwood scattered throughout the canopy that should be removed. Its fringe should be raised over the parking area. The interior scaffold has a fairly good structure. No live wood should be removed from the tree at this time because the tree is currently stressed. Aside from pruning for clearance, no live wood should be removed from the tree at this time.

Maintenance: 15 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium

Site 75 *Ulmus parvifolia* - Chinese Elm

This tree has good structural form. There are some sites with bark loss in the scaffold structure, but the tree is actively healing these sites. The tree should be inspected annually to ensure that these wounds are healing properly.

The canopy of the tree is looking a bit sparse. The interior shoots should be thinned to the strongest leaders and allowed to grow as temporary structures until the tree returns to an ideal canopy density. It will need to have its fringe raised annually for clearance.

The turfgrass is growing all the way to the trunk of this tree. In the course of maintaining the turfgrass, a weed whacker was used to shorten the grass around the trunk of the tree. The weed whacker has damaged the outer bark of the tree around the root crown and has likely stressed the tree. It is very possible that these wounds inflicted by a string trimmer will ultimately cause the death of the tree. They should continue to be monitored. When significant decay enters through this section of the tree, it may need to be marked as structurally deficient and replaced. Until then, deadwood should be removed and live wood should be retained.

Maintenance: 30 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium





Site 78 *Pinus canariensis* - Canary Island Pine

This Canary Island Pine was over-pruned in the past. Many of the lateral branches were cut back to stumps, reducing the production of a plant hormone called auxin. Auxin controls the dormancy of adventitious shoots, and so its absence has resulted in prolific sprouting up and down the trunk of the tree. These shoots should be allowed to grow at this time but they will need to be removed after one to two years before they create an undesirable scaffold structure.

The tree has a co-dominant trunk form. The northern trunk of this tree should be removed before it becomes a falling hazard to the north over St. Andrews drive. The tree will have an unappealing aesthetic appearance following the removal of this trunk, but it will have less of a safety liability. It is possible that the previous mispruning on this tree will eventually result in its decline and death, but until it is assessed to be a hazard, it can be retained the landscape.

Maintenance: two hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: medium



Site 79 *Pinus canariensis* - Canary Island Pine

This Canary Island Pine was over-pruned in the past. Many of the lateral branches were cut back to stumps, reducing the production of a plant hormone called auxin. Auxin controls the dormancy of adventitious shoots, and so its absence has resulted in prolific sprouting up and down the trunk of the tree. These shoots should be allowed to grow at this time but they will need to be removed after one to two years before they create an undesirable scaffold structure.

This tree has four co-dominant trunks. The two northern trunks have the greatest likelihood of failing to the north onto St. Andrews drive. Those trunks should be removed and then a safety line should be installed between the remaining two major trunks to the south. A safety line will not prevent the failure of one of these trunks, but it will only mitigate the damage if it were to fail.

Maintenance: 2.5 hours with climber Next Service: spring Priority: high

Site 80

Pinus canariensis - Canary Island Pine

This tree has a desirable canopy density to dampen the force of the wind on the main trunk. Unfortunately, the tree also has a codominant leading structure and a safety line should be installed to mitigate any damage that a failing trunk could have. While the safety line is installed, a climber should inspect the branch attach points for defects defect should be corrected.

Maintenance: two hours with climber Next Service: spring Priority: high





Site 81 *Melaleuca quinquenervia* – Paperbark Tree

This recent transplant is located on a good site that is protected from the morning sun. Light, selective pruning cuts should be made to keep the tree clear from the building, but these pruning cuts will not be necessary for a while. For now, the tree does not need to be pruned. The only major maintenance issue is keeping the root ball clear of debris and soil that may erode around it.

Maintenance: zero Next Service: 2016 Priority: low

Site 82 *Ulmus parvifolia* - Chinese Elm

This tree has good structural form. There are some sites with bark loss in the scaffold structure, but the tree is actively healing these sites. The tree should be inspected annually to ensure that these wounds are healing properly. There is some minor anthracnose canker on this tree that should be monitored. At this time, no action needs to be taken.

The canopy of the tree is looking a bit sparse. The interior shoots should be thinned to the strongest leaders and allowed to grow as temporary structures until the tree returns to an ideal canopy density. It will need to have its fringe raised annually for clearance.

Maintenance: 30 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium





Site 87 <i>Tipuana tipu</i> – Tipu Tree This tipu tree is a prime asset for the landscape. It shades a broad swath of green space and protects the adjacent building from the afternoon sun. There are several crossing branch structures in the canopy that should be corrected to bring the canopy form to a more stable scaffold. Also, the tips are beginning to get fairly heavy and should be lightened around the entire low fringe of the tree. The down-pointing branches can be removed, and 15% to 20% of the redundant parallel branches at the tips can be thinned out. The area that requires a special concentration of pruning efforts is the area of the fringe over the parking spaces. There are several shoots with excessive elongation that should be pruned back to live laterals that are capable of re-assuming apical dominance. There is also some minor deadwood in the tree from natural shade out dieback as the outer fringe shades out the inner foliage of the tree areas The tree should also be pruned for building clearance. Maintenance: 6 hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: high
Site 88 <i>Cupaniopsis anacardioides</i> – Carrotwood This carrotwood tree looks dense and healthy. At this time, it only needs to be maintained for crown height and does not need to be pruned until spring. The interior shoots should be thinned to the strongest ones so that they do not compete with the outer canopy. Maintenance: 20 min. Next Service: spring Priority: medium





Site 91 *Pinus canariensis* - Canary Island Pine

This tree is an excellent choice of species for the location because it grows tall but does not have particularly invasive roots. The trees in this grove are an asset to the building by reducing cooling costs and improving the aesthetic appearance of the building façade. This tree is also growing epicormic shoots that are sprouting along the trunk. These shoots can be retained for now, but will need to be removed eventually for aesthetic purposes.

This tree has co-dominant stems, but the removal of one of the stems would severely disfigure the tree. Rather, a safety line should be installed to mitigate possible damage. The tree should be inspected annually by a climber.

Maintenance: one hour with climber Next Service: winter Priority: medium



Site 92 *Pinus canariensis* - Canary Island Pine

This tree has a smaller co-dominant stem to the east that can be gradually reduced from the top down. It is not a concern for hazard, but it could turn into one in the next five years if not destimulated. While climber is in the tree de-stimulating the codominant stem, he should also inspect the tree for any possible defects.

Maintenance: 3 hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: medium







Site 97 Ulmus parvifolia - Chinese Elm

This was a poor choice of nursery stock. It has a small, thin trunk with a small sprig of foliage at the top. The trunk is not capable of supporting the tree's own weight and it therefore needs to be tied to a stake. The ideal form for this tree from nursery stock would have low branching all the way down the trunk to allow for the development of trunk taper.

For now, there are two options: remove the tree or retain the tree. If the tree is removed, it can be replaced with a better choice of nursery stock. If the tree is retained, it should be restaked so that it is properly supported but allowed to move freely in the wind. No pruning should be done at this time because the tree needs every leaf to create photosynthates and deposit new

Maintenance: 10 min.

Vacant Planting Site

This would be an excellent planting site for a tree that can shade the building to the west. A tree should be chosen that does not have an aggressive root system. Canary Island Pine would do well here. This tree should also be considered to be a succession tree for when the trees along the east wall eventually need to be

Site 99 Jacaranda mimosifolia - Jacaranda This mimosa tree appears to have good form and does not need any pruning at this time. The canopy will need to be maintained for clearance, and defects should be removed annually. There is some minor root damage from a lawnmower driving over the root zone. Care should be taken to avoid this. One precaution could be to spread a small layer of mulch 2 to 4 inches thick around the root crown of the tree to protect it from machinery.
Maintenance: 10 min. Next Service: spring Priority: high
Site 100 <i>Callistemon citrinus</i> – Lemon Bottlebrush This recent installation of bottlebrush was harmed by the recent construction activity on the east wall. Some piece of machinery impacted the tree and caused it to partially break near the root crown. As a follow-up treatment, the tree was tightly wrapped with tape to support the split the trunk. This treatment will not cause the tree to heal this wound site. Rather, it will create a site that will actually promote decay entering the tree. It is likely that this tree will die in the next 1 to 2 years from its injuries. However, if it is able to survive and deposit sufficient reaction tissue, it will still have this structural weakness for the rest of its life. If the tree grows to be a substantial size, then it will be in danger of falling to the west, splitting at this old wound site. I recommend its removal and replacement. The tree can be retained in the landscape for several more years before it becomes a problem. Maintenance: zero Next Service: 2016 Priority: low Removal recommended









Site 109 Melaleuca quinquenervia - Paperbark Tree

This small tree is struggling on site. It has relatively low lateral branching coming out from its nearly straight trunk. The low lateral branches should be allowed to grow as much possible and fill in the canopy aesthetically. This will also help the tree develop trunk taper, making it able to stand on its own. For now, the stakes need to be retained and should be inspected by an arborist in one year.

Maintenance: 10 min. Next Service: fall Priority: low







Site 113 Pinus halepensis – Aleppo Pine

This pine is an asset to the Leisure World because it is a large, stately form at the entrance to the community. It also aesthetically screens the apartment building to the south. It also serves as a privacy screen for shareholders on the end of the building.

The tree is located approximately 15 feet from the newly installed wall. Aboveground, the tree appears healthy and does not appear to be in decline as a result of the adjacent wall's installation. I did not observe any signs of decay in the root crown area at the time of my inspection.

The interior of this tree appears to be clean and does not need any pruning at this time. There are no limbs that are in severe cantilever, and the foliage distribution is acceptable. This tree should simply be monitored for any signs that the newly installed wall caused severe damage to its root system.

Although this pine tree has a co-dominant trunk form, the angle of attachment is sufficiently large that the weakest point on the tree is not the co-dominant union. Therefore, no action needs to be taken to correct the trunk union at this time.

Maintenance: 30 minutes with climber inspection Next Service: winter Priority: high



Site 114 Lophostemon confertus - Brisbane Box

This young tree was a well-chosen specimen, but it was not a well-chosen planting site. This planting site will limit the lifespan of this tree because of the limited root space. If this tree is allowed to grow into its natural form, it will push up against the newly installed wall to the east. It will also grow far taller than its neighboring hedges, creating an anomaly in the aesthetic appearance of the wall's landscaping. While it is in its youth, this tree should be transplanted somewhere it will create more value for the mutual.

Once it is planted in its final spot, the nursery stake and ties should be removed. If the tree is not able to stand on its own, the tree should be staked by two planting poles inserted in the ground outside the root ball. The tree should be allowed to sway in the wind so that it can develop trunk taper.

Maintenance: 10 min. once it is planted Next Service: winter Priority: high

Site 115 Lophostemon confertus - Brisbane Box

This young tree was a well-chosen specimen, but it was not a well-chosen planting site. This planting site will limit the lifespan of this tree because of the limited root space. If this tree is allowed to grow into its natural form, it will push up against the newly installed wall to the east. It will also grow far taller than its neighboring hedges, creating an anomaly in the aesthetic appearance of the wall. While it is in its youth, this tree should be transplanted somewhere it will create more value for the mutual.

Once it is planted in its final spot, the nursery stake and ties should be removed. If the tree is not able to stand on its own, the tree should be staked by two planting poles inserted in the ground outside the root ball. The tree should be allowed to sway in the wind so that it can develop trunk taper.

Maintenance: 10 min. once it is planted Next Service: winter Priority: high











Site 119 Corymbia citriodora - Lemon Scented Gum

This tree is located approximately 7 feet from the newly installed wall. It is also immediately adjacent to one of the primary access ways for machinery to get to the wall construction site. This means that the soil near the root crown of the tree on the southern side was compacted by the passing machinery. Because of the soil compaction damage, the tree may have lost a significant portion of its root system due to construction. The roots on the south side of the tree will be inhibited due to the reduction of soil pore space from soil compaction, and the roots to the east were lost because they were severed when the trench was dug.

If the tree were to fail to the west, it would not hit the building or cause significant damage. It would only fail across the walkway and possibly damage one of the smaller understory trees. For that reason, the tree may be considered for being retained in the landscape for several years or until external signs of defects appear. If any root crown defect appears, then the tree will need to be recommended for removal.

This tree has a small load of dead branches that should be pruned out.

The tree is growing into conflict with the neighboring pine at Site 120. A decision should be made on whether the tree at Site 120 will be retained or removed. If it is removed, then the Lemon Scented Gum should be allowed to grow to the south. If it is to be retained, then the Lemon Scented Gum should be pruned back to make space for the pine.

I observed no signs that the tree is an imminent failure hazard. If the tree is to be retained, it should be inspected annually by an arborist.

If the tree is to be retained:

Maintenance: two hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: high

Consider Removal



Site 120 Pinus halepensis - Aleppo Pine

This tree's trunk is located approximately 6 feet from the newly installed wall.

The canopy of the northern trunk is relatively balanced. The canopy of the southern trunk has a westerly lean, but not so much that the lean of the tree poses a hazard to the south. The northern trunk serves to counterbalance the lean of the southern trunk. The key failure region for this tree would be the root zone where the newly installed wall is.

This tree is the tallest of the grove and is subject to the most wind loads. Furthermore, the greatest density of foliage is concentrated near the top of the crown. It is not evenly distributed throughout the crown as would have been ideal. This tree has a co-dominant stem feature, but the angle of attachment is sufficiently large that the branch union between these two trunks is not the weakest point on the tree.

If the tree were to fail, it would not hit the adjacent building or cause significant damage. The only target for this tree on the western side is the adjacent walkway. It is less likely that the tree would fail to the east due to the root cutting from the installation of the wall because the strongest buttress roots are the tensile buttress roots on the windward side. If the tree were to fail to the east, it would due to be due to a combination of other factors such as soil compaction.

Although it is not currently in contact with the lines, this tree will eventually need to be pruned for line clearance if it is to be retained. There is ivy growing around the trunk of the tree. If it is to be retained, then the ivy should be removed carefully to avoid damaging bark.

If the trees to be retained:

Maintenance: eight hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: high



Site 121 Pinus halepensis – Aleppo Pine

This tree's trunk is located approximately 4 feet from the adjacent wall. Its lowest branches have been cut to stumps on the side of the power lines for line clearance. These branches will eventually die back to the main stem and need to be removed, leaving an imbalance in the tree's canopy to the west. Because of the westerly imbalance in the tree's canopy and absence of eastern tensile buttress roots, this tree is a falling hazard. However, if it were to fall to the west, it has no target except adjacent trees and a walkway. For this reason, it may be considered to be retained for some time the landscape until defects appear.

If retained in the landscape:

Maintenance: four hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: high



Site 122 *Pinus halepensis* – Aleppo Pine

This young Aleppo Pine is sufficiently far from the newly installed wall that it did not suffer significant root damage. There is a protective layer of ivy growing around the root zone of this tree, and there is no indication of soil compaction in the recent past. Therefore, this tree can be retained in the landscape. The ivy growing up the trunk of this tree should be removed before it colonizes the tree. Care should be taken to not damage the bark when the ivy is removed.

The tree can begin the early stages of structural pruning to encourage a single leading trunk form. There appears to be a rat nest in the canopy of this tree that should be knocked down with a pole saw.

There is a buildup of dead needles in the canopy that can be sprayed out with a high-pressure hose. This will have the short term effect of making the tree look more attractive and the long term effects of allowing more light through the foliage and increasing photosynthesis in the tree.

Care should be taken to protect this tree as a succession specimen if either of the adjacent trees is removed.

Maintenance: 2 hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: medium


Site 123 Corymbia citriodora – Lemon Scented Gum

This tree is about 5 feet from the newly constructed wall. Like its neighbors, it is predisposed to failing to the west due to root cutting. It has some minor deadwood that can be removed for aesthetic purposes. This tree does not have a target to the west, so it can be retained until it fails.

Maintenance: 30 min. with climber Next Service: winter Priority: low

Site 124

Corymbia citriodora – Lemon Scented Gum

This tree is about 5 feet from the newly constructed wall. Like its neighbors, it is predisposed to failing to the west due to root cutting. It has some minor deadwood that can be removed for aesthetic purposes. This tree does not have a target to the west, so it can be retained until it fails.

Maintenance: one hour with climber Next Service: spring Priority: medium



Site 125 Pinus halepensis – Aleppo Pine

This tree has a prevailing lean to the north away from the tree at Site 126 that dominates this grove. Its trunk is located approximately 9 feet from the newly installed wall.

In the past, this tree's lateral branches have all been reduced. The reduction cuts on this tree have stunted its growth and will likely contribute to future decline. This tree is small enough and far enough from the wall that its root system is still structurally functional. The roots that bear the most stress on this tree are growing to the southwest and have not been severed. The roots of this tree are likely intertwined with the roots of the tree to the south at Site 126, so if that tree is retained, this tree will have a measure of protection from root failure.

Though it does not need it now, the tree will need to be addressed for line clearance in the future.

Maintenance: two hours with climber Next Service: 2016 Priority: high







Site 128 Corymbia citriodora – Lemon Scented Gum

This tree is located approximately 4 feet from the recently constructed wall. The center of gravity for this tree is to the west, away from the wall. Much of the pruning on this tree has trained it to grow to the west. This shifted center of gravity makes the tree more susceptible to fail to the west over the parking lot.

There is some minor deadwood in the tree that can be removed if it is to be retained. There are some minor mechanical injury wounds to the bark low on the trunk. These smaller wounds appear to already be healing over and not causing a long-term problem for the tree. The most pertinent issue with this tree is the severed root system.

If this tree is to be retained:

Maintenance: two hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: high

Consider Removal





Site 131 Corymbia citriodora - Lemon Scented Gum

This lemon scented gum is located approximately 4 feet from the recently installed wall. There are several surface buttress roots that terminate at the wall; they must have been severed when the wall was built. These surface buttress roots formerly carried much of the support weight of the tree from failing to the west. With these gone, the holding capacity of the remaining root system is significantly diminished.

Much of the canopy of this tree is concentrated on the western side. There are several dead branches hanging in the canopy that should be removed for safety regardless of whether this tree is retained or removed. If this tree were to fail to the west, its impact would be reduced by the adjacent Chinese Elm to the west. The structural branches of the Chinese Elm would not prevent the failing of the Lemon Scented Gum, but they would reduce the force of impact if it were to fail. If Mutual 17 is tolerant of this risk, then the Lemon Scented Gum can be retained in the landscape. Otherwise, it should be removed.

If the tree is retained:

Maintenance: three hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: high



Site 132 *Ulmus parvifolia* - Chinese Elm

This tree is sufficiently far from the newly installed wall that its roots were not affected by the construction. There are some surface roots on the eastern side of the root zone that have been damaged by mowers. The damage is not significant enough to cause a structural hazard, but these mower damage sites should be monitored annually. If decay advances into the buttress roots, then the tree's structural stability may be compromised.

The structure of the canopy is good. It is complex and dense. Some of the long interior shoots should be reduced to the stronger ones before they compete with the rest of the canopy. The canopy will need to be raised annually due to the natural low weeping form of the tree. There are several dead branches hanging in the canopy that should be removed.

Maintenance: 60 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium



Site 133 Pinus halepensis – Aleppo Pine

This pine tree has a prominent co-dominant stem structure. The angle of attachment is sufficiently large that it is not the weakest point in the tree. The trunk of this tree is located approximately 3 feet from the newly constructed wall. Due to the size of this tree, nearly 40% of the root system was compromised due to the installation of the adjacent wall.

Currently, the tree's canopy form is approximately balanced between the east and west. The trunk leaning out over the wall to the east counterbalances the trunk on the west side. If the eastern trunk were to be removed, the western trunk would likely become a falling hazard due to the absence of tensile buttress roots on the eastern side. If the tree is to be retained, both halves of the tree should be retained.

The eastern half of the tree needs to be pruned for power line clearance. As little live foliage as possible should be removed to preserve the counterbalance of that trunk. There are some dead branches that could be removed before they self-prune.

If the tree is retained:

Maintenance: 3 hours with climber Next Service: winter Priority: medium





Site 136 *Pinus halepensis* - Aleppo Pine

This tree is about 5 feet from the newly installed wall. There are some large above-ground roots that terminate at the wall, indicating that there was major root cutting on this tree. There are some chalk markings at the base of the tree, possibly indicating that this tree was already marked for removal. The size of these severed anchorage roots makes this tree a hazard in the landscape. I recommend its removal.

Removal recommended.



Site 137 *Pinus halepensis* - Aleppo Pine

This pine tree is less than 3 feet from the newly installed wall. It most certainly has lost a large portion of its root system when the wall was installed. There are no above-ground signs of root cutting, but the proximity of the tree to the wall implies the inevitability of such root cutting.

There is a large limb about 18 inches in diameter that arcs out over the driveway to the west. Although the limb is in heavy cantilever, there is not sufficient weight on this limb for the branch union to be the weakest point on the tree.

Further up the main stem, there is a co-dominant form, with one of the trunks headed towards the power lines. In the past, this trunk was headed back, and all of its subordinate branches were headed back as well. This has left sparse foliage on the eastern side of the tree and shifted the center of gravity to the west. Pine trees do not handle tipping cuts well, and if left alone, this trunk will likely fade and die back to the main stem.

For now, a decision should be made regarding the risk tolerance of the mutual. If this tree were to fail, it would not cause significant damage to the adjacent building, but it would fall across the driveway and damage some of the nearby plant material. Because the potential targets are not particularly valuable, the tree may be retained in the landscape.

No pruning needs to be done this year, but if the tree is retained more than one year, it will need to be maintained for power line clearance, and the stumps by the power lines should be recut.

Maintenance: two hours with climber Next Service: 2016 Priority: medium



Corymbia citriodora - Lemon Scented Gum

This tree is about 6 feet from the adjacent wall, and enough of its roots remain intact so the tree can be retained in the landscape. It is about 3 inches from an electrical box, and it is likely that the roots have grown around and underneath the concrete slab for the electrical box. There is some minor deadwood that can be removed from the tree for safety and aesthetic purposes.

Maintenance: one hour with climber Next Service: winter

Afrocarpus falcatus - Yew Pine

This was a poor choice of nursery stock because it was aggressively tied to its planting pole in the nursery. As a result, the tree has developed a lean away from the stake to the north. All of the low branches have been removed up to a height of 5 feet. The ties have been removed, but the planting pole has not. The planting pole should be carefully removed so that it does not damage the root system. If the tree still needs to be staked, new stakes should be inserted in the ground outside the root ball to avoid damaging the roots. The predominant lean of the tree will likely limit its lifespan in the landscape. For now, it can be retained, but the lean should be monitored.

Maintenance: 10 min. Next Service: winter Priority: high

Site 140 Afrocarpus falcatus - Yew Pine This was a poor choice of planting site for this species. It was planted too close to the newly installed wall, and its roots will eventually crack the adjacent wall. There is a co-dominant stem that originates low on the trunk that is currently cutting off the circulation of the next lowest branch. This low co-dominant stem should be removed back to the trunk. Consideration should be given for this tree's removal and replacement with a shrub instead of a tree
Maintenance: 10 min. Next Service: winter Priority: medium
 Site 141 Lophostemon confertus - Brisbane Box This young tree was a well-chosen specimen, but it was not a well-chosen planting site. This planting site will limit the lifespan of this tree because of the limited root space. If this tree is allowed to grow into its natural form, it will push up against the newly installed wall to the east. While it is in its youth, this tree should be transplanted somewhere it will create more value for the mutual. Once it is planted in its final spot, the nursery stake and ties should be removed. If the tree is not able to stand on its own, the tree should be staked by two planting poles inserted in the ground outside the root ball. The tree should be allowed to sway in the wind so that it can develop trunk taper. Maintenance: 10 min. once it is planted Next Service: winter Priority: high



Site 144 <i>Pinus halepensis</i> – Aleppo Pine This tree is growing in a grove with its neighboring trees, thereby sharing the applied wind loads. The trunk of this tree is located approximately 6 feet from the newly installed wall. There is a bulge on the southern side of the trunk of the tree that predates the installation of the wall. Often a crown gall is an indicator of some sort of internal mechanical stress. It is impossible to determine the extent of the stress without specialized testing. The upper canopy of the tree looks good. The two major co- dominant stems have a large enough angle of attachment that their union is not the weakest point on the tree. The tree will eventually need to be pruned for line clearance. If this tree were to fail to the west, it would not hit a target. It would land in the unoccupied turfgrass of the adjacent greenbelt. Therefore, since this tree is not an imminent hazard, it can be retained in the landscape.
Maintenance: three hours with climber Next Service: 2016 Priority: high
Site 145 <i>Melaleuca quinquenervia</i> - Paperbark Tree This was a good choice of species for the site, and this tree appears to be growing well here. The ivy around the tree should be routinely cut back to stop it from colonizing the canopy. Care should be taken to not damage the outer layer of bark while the ivy is removed. The tree is far enough from the adjacent wall that its root system is not likely negatively impacted by the construction activity. No pruning needs to be done on this tree at this time. Maintenance: zero Next Service: 2016 Priority: medium





Site 147 *Callistemon citrinus* – Lemon Bottlebrush

This newly installed bottlebrush was not impacted by the installation of the eastern wall.

Is tightly tied to its nursery stake, and these ties should be removed immediately. If the tree is not able to stand on its own, its stakes should be replaced outside the root zone.

This tree was not an ideal choice of nursery stock because all of the low lateral limbs have been removed. Nearly all of the foliage emanates from a single point on the trunk about $5 \frac{1}{2}$ feet up. This is not the ideal form for the tree and this form will ultimately limit the tree's lifespan. However, it is not currently a problem and the tree can be retained in the landscape for at least another 10 years.

Maintenance: 10 min. Next Service: now Priority: high

Site	Latin Name	Species	Maintenance	Climber	Removal	Next Service	Priority	Notes
1	Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.75	No	No	now	high	lighten branches over parking, inspect cankers, crown raising
2	Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.50	No	No	spring	medium	remove lowest southern scaffold branch, crown raising
3	Liquidambar styraciflua	American Sweet Gum	0.25	No	No	winter	medium	deadwood
4	Liquidambar styraciflua	American Sweet Gum	0.17	No	No	winter	medium	clearance
5	Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	0.00	No	No	fall	medium	no action
6	Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	2.00	Yes	No	fall	medium	aerial inspection, remove defects/deadwood
7	Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	2.00	No	No	fall	medium	aerial inspection, remove defects/deadwood
8	Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	1.50	No	No	2016	medium	aerial inspection, remove defects/deadwood
9	Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	4.00	Yes	No	2016	medium	aerial inspection, remove defects/deadwood, remove southern trunk
10	Magnolia grandiflora	Southern Magnolia	0.00	No	No	2016	low	no action
11	Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.17	No	No	winter	high	remove stakes
12	Afrocarpus falcatus	Yew Pine	0.17	No	No	winter	High	remove stakes
13	Salix babylonica	Weeping Willow	1.00	No	No	winter	medium	begin scaffold pruning, deadwood removal
14	Liquidambar styraciflua	American Sweet Gum	0.33	No	No	winter	medium	remove one competing trunk, train to single trunk
15	Brachychiton sp.	Bottle Tree	0.08	No	No	2016	low	building clearance
16	Melaleuca quinquenervia	Paperbark Tree	0.17	No	No	2016	low	harmony trim of multi-trunk form
17	Cupaniopsis anacardioides	Carrotwood	0.25	No	No	2016	medium	inspect wound on north side of root crown; defect/deadwood removal
18	Salix babylonica	Weeping Willow	0.25	No	No	winter	medium	fringe raising
19	Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	0.50	No	No	winter	medium	deadwood removal
20	Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.08	No	No	fall	low	no action
21	Lagerstroemia indica	Crape Myrtle	0.08	No	No	2016	low	inspect root crown injury
22	Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.17	No	No	2016	high	no action
23	Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.08	No	No	fall	low	no action
24	Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	0.50	No	No	winter	medium	remove deadwood
25	Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	0.50	No	No	winter	medium	remove deadwood
26	Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.08	No	No	fall	low	no action
27	Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	1.50	Yes	No	2016	medium	aerial inspection, remove defects/deadwood
28	Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	1.50	No	No	winter	medium	fringe raising
29	Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	1.50	No	No	winter	medium	deadwood, fringe raising
30) Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	1.50	No	No	winter	high	deadwood, lighten over parking area, fringe raising
31	Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	0.50	Yes	No	2016	medium	inspect for defects
32	Brachychiton sp.	Bottle Tree	0.25	No	No	spring	low	building clearance
33	Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	0.67	Yes	No	winter	medium	destimulate tip of co-dominant leader

Site Latin Name	Species	Maintenance	Climber	Removal	Next Service	Priority	Notes
34 Vacant Planting Site		0.00	No	No			full sun small tree
35 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	1.50	No	No	winter	medium	deadwood, fringe raising
36 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.33	No	No	winter	medium	deadwood, fringe raising
37 Melaleuca quinquenervia	Paperbark Tree	0.17	No	No	2016	low	clear soil from root crown down to natural grade
38 Melaleuca quinquenervia	Paperbark Tree	0.17	No	No	now	high	remove stakes
39 Melaleuca quinquenervia	Paperbark Tree	0.00	No	No	2016	low	no action
40 Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	1.00	Yes	No	winter	medium	aerial inspection, remove defects/deadwood
41 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.33	No	No	winter	medium	fringe raising
42 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.33	No	No	winter	medium	fringe raising
43 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.50	No	No	winter	medium	fringe raising
44 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.50	No	No	winter	high	fringe raising
45 Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	3.00	Yes	No	winter	high	install safety line, remove deadwood/defects
46 Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	0.67	Yes	No	winter	medium	aerial inspection, remove deadwood/defects
47 Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	0.67	Yes	No	winter	medium	aerial inspection, remove deadwood/defects
48 Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	0.67	Yes	No	winter	medium	aerial inspection, remove deadwood/defects
49 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.75	No	No	winter	medium	fringe raising
50 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.33	No	No	winter	medium	deadwood, fringe raising
51 Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.17	No	No	now	high	re-stake correctly
52 Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	0.50	Yes	No	winter	medium	aerial inspection
53 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.75	No	No	winter	high	remove defects/deadwood, fringe raising
54 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.50	No	No	winter	medium	fringe raising
55 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.25	No	No	high	now	remove stakes
56 Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	0.50	Yes	No	now	medium	aerial inspection only
57 Ficus benjamina	Weeping Fig	0.00	No	Yes	now	low	consider removal; if retained, keep it small
58 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.50	No	No	winter	medium	fringe raising
59 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.50	No	No	winter	medium	remove deadwood
60~Melaleuca quinquenervia	Paperbark Tree	0.50	No	No	2016	medium	no action
61 Melaleuca quinquenervia	Paperbark Tree	0.50	No	No	2016	medium	no action
62 Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	1.00	Yes	No	winter	medium	aerial inspection - remove defects
63 Pinus canariensis	Canary Island Pine	0.50	Yes	No	winter	medium	aerial inspection - remove defects
64 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.33	No	No	winter	medium	no action
65 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.33	No	No	winter	low	deadwood removal
66 Schinus terebinthifolius	Brazilian Pepper Tree	0.75	No	No	spring	high	fringe raising

94 Melaleuca quinquenervia

95 Ulmus parvifolia

96 Ulmus parvifolia

97 Ulmus parvifolia

98 Vacant Planting Site

99 Jacaranda mimosifolia

Paperbark Tree

Chinese Elm

Chinese Elm

Chinese Elm

Jacaranda

Site Latin Name Species Maintenance Climber Removal Next Service Priority Notes 67 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.33 No No winter low deadwood removal 68 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.50 No medium deadwood removal No winter 69 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.50 No No winter medium deadwood removal 70 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.33 No No winter medium remove broken branch, raise fringe, inspect wound on south side of trunk 71 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.33 No winter medium no action No 72 Tipuana tipu Tipu Tree 0.33 No medium keep all low branches, reduce canopy height No winter 73 Tipuana tipu Tipu Tree 0.17 No 2016 high keep all low branches, reduce canopy height No 74 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.25 No winter med fringe raising No 75 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Flm 0.50 No No winter medium fringe raising 76 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.50 No medium fringe raising No winter 77 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.50 No medium remove nursery ties, fringe raising No winter 2.00 Yes 78 Pinus canariensis **Canary Island Pine** No winter medium remove northern trunk - piece down and rig and lower 79 Pinus canariensis **Canary Island Pine** 2.50 Yes remove two northern trunks, install safety line between remaining two No spring high 80 Pinus canariensis **Canary Island Pine** aerial inspection, remove defects/deadwood 2.00 Yes No spring high **Paperbark Tree** 81 Melaleuca quinquenervia 0.00 No 2016 low no action No 82 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.50 No winter medium fringe raising No 83 Ulmus parvifolia Chinese Elm 0.33 No fall medium fringe raising No 84 Pinus canariensis 0.50 Yes medium aerial inspection only Canary Island Pine No winter 85 Pinus canariensis 0.50 Yes medium aerial inspection only **Canary Island Pine** winter No 86 Pinus canariensis **Canary Island Pine** 0.50 Yes No winter medium aerial inspection only 87 Tipuana tipu **Tipu Tree** 6.00 Yes raise and lighten fringe, prune for building clearance No winter high 0.33 No medium scaffold pruning, defect removal 88 Cupaniopsis anacardioides Carrotwood No spring 89 Corymbia citriodora Lemon Scented Gum 0.50 Yes No Spring medium deadwood removal 90 Pinus canariensis **Canary Island Pine** 4.00 Yes No winter medium remove southern co-dominant stem and other defects 91 Pinus canariensis **Canary Island Pine** 1.00 Yes winter medium install safety line, remove deadwood/defects No 92 Pinus canariensis **Canary Island Pine** 3.00 Yes No winter medium begin reducing eastern co-dominant stem, remove defects medium check stakes 93 Lophostemon confertus Brisbane Box 0.17 No No 2016

2016

winter

now

now

spring

low

high

high

high

no action

check stakes

check stakes

clearance

medium canopy balancing, fringe raising

matching canary island pine

0.00 No

0.75 No

0.17 No

0.17 No

0.00 No

0.17 No

No

No

No

No

No

No

Site Latin Name	Species	Maintenance Climber	Removal	Next Service	Priority	Notes
100 Callistemon citrinus	Lemon Bottlebrush	0.00 No	Yes	2016	low	Removal/replacement recommended
101 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	1.00 No	No	winter	high	remove deadwood, raise fringe
102 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.67 No	No	winter	medium	remove deadwood, raise fringe
103 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	0.75 No	No	winter	high	remove deadwood, raise fringe
104 Jacaranda mimosifolia	Mimosa Tree	0.25 No	No	fall	high	train to a more stable canopy form
105 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	1.00 No	No	winter	medium	fringe raising
106 Liquidambar styraciflua	American Sweet Gum	0.33 No	No	fall	medium	add a layer of mulch around root crown
107 Magnolia grandiflora	Southern Magnolia	0.17 No	No	spring	medium	check stakes
108 Liquidambar styrciflua	American Sweet Gum	0.25 No	No	fall	medium	clearance
109 Melaleuca quinquenervia	Paperbark Tree	0.17 No	No	fall	low	check stakes
110 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	1.50 Yes	No	winter	low	must block traffic to prune this tree; remove deadwood
111 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	1.50 Yes	No	winter	low	must block traffic to prune this tree; remove deadwood
112 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	0.50 Yes	No	winter	high	inspection
113 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	0.50 Yes	No	winter	high	inspection
114 Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.17 No	No	winter	high	transplant to a new site
115 Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.17 No	No	winter	high	transplant to a new site
116 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	4.00 Yes	Yes	winter	high	Consider Removal; if retained, lighten fringe and prune for line clearance
117 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	6.00 Yes	Yes	winter	high	Consider Removal; if retained, lighten fringe and prune for line clearance
118 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	4.00 Yes	Yes	winter	high	Consider Removal; if retained, lighten fringe and prune for line clearance
119 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	2.00 Yes	Yes	winter	high	Consider Removal; if retained, remove deadwood
120 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	8.00 Yes	No	winter	high	Consider Removal; if retained, lighten fringe and prune for line clearance
121 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	4.00 Yes	No	winter	high	Consider Removal; if retained, recut stumps and remove defects
122 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	2.00 Yes	No	winter	medium	remove rat nest, power wash low fringe
123 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	0.50 Yes	No	winter	low	remove deadwood
124 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	1.00 Yes	No	spring	medium	remove deadwood
125 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	2.00 Yes	No	2016	high	line clearance and defect removal
126 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	2.00 Yes	Yes	winter	high	Consider Removal; if retained, remove defects/deadwood
127 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	4.00 Yes	No	winter	high	Consider removal; if retained, power line clearance, defect removal
128 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	2.00 Yes	No	winter	high	Consider Removal; if retained, remove deadwood
129 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	0.00 No	No			Removal recommended
130 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	1.00 No	No	winter	medium	need to access both sides of the wall to work; fringe raising
131 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	3.00 Yes	No	winter	high	Consider Removal; if retained, remove deadwood
132 Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	1.00 No	No	winter	medium	crown raising

Site Latin Name	Species	Maintenance Climb	er Removal	Next Service	Priority	Notes
133 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	3.00 Yes	No	winter	medium	Consider removal; if retained, power line clearance, defect removal
134 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	2.00 Yes	No	winter	medium	Consider removal; if retained, deadwood/defect removal
135 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	0.75 Yes	No	spring	medium	aerial inspection, remove deadwood/defects
136 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	0.00 No	No			Removal Recommended
137 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	2.00 Yes	No	2016	medium	Consider removal; if retained, power line clearance, defect removal
138 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	1.00 Yes	No	winter	low	deadwood removal
139 Afrocarpus falcatus	Yew Pine	0.17 No	No	winter	high	check stakes
140 Afrocarpus falcatus	Yew Pine	0.17 No	No	winter	medium	remove 2" co-dominant stem
141 Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.17 No	No	winter	high	transplant to a new site
142 Lophostemon confertus	Brisbane Box	0.17 No	No	winter	high	transplant to a new site
143 Corymbia citriodora	Lemon Scented Gum	2.00 Yes	No	winter	low	deadwood/defect removal
144 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	3.00 Yes	No	2016	high	aerial inspection, deadwood/defect removal
145 Melaleuca quinquenervia	Paperbark Tree	0.00 No	No	2016	medium	no action
146 Pinus halepensis	Aleppo Pine	2.00 Yes	No	winter	medium	aerial inspection; deadwood/defect removal
147 Callistemon citrinus	Lemon Bottlebrush	0.17 No	No	now	high	remove stakes